## **United States District Court**

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE V.	D ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Aurelio Castillo-Romero			Case Number: 1:06cr140
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	<ul> <li>A felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.</li> <li>(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.</li> <li>(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>(4) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.</li> </ul>		
	Alternate Findings (A)  (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
×		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) appear. langer the safety of another person or the community.
	l fin	Part II – Written Stater and that the credible testimony and information subn	ment of Reasons for Detention
	Defer	ndant waived his detention hearing and elected not ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not b	to contest detention pending trial.
	The		ons Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
appeal the Uni	ions factorial forms for the factorial forms for the factorial forms for the factorial forms for the factorial for the factorial forms for the factorial for the factorial forms for the factorial for	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from per- defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu	sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending inity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
July 6, 2006			/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
Date			Signature of Judge
			Fllen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge